Dear Respondent,
This questionnaire provides you the opportunity to give feedback to the instructor about the project in which you took part. Your responses are voluntary and anonymous. Answers will be evaluated using an automated process and results forwarded to the instructor. This form will be read mechanically. To ensure the quality of data collection, please mark your response as illustrated above. Please write comments within the space provided. Marks falling outside this space cannot be taken into account. Please use block letters for your written comments.
We appreciate your support!

1. Weekly Supervision

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Question</th>
<th>Agree</th>
<th>Completely Agree</th>
<th>Disagree</th>
<th>Completely Disagree</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1.1 Who is responsible for weekly supervision in your project?</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1.2 The amount of time allotted for individual supervisory sessions is always adequate.</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1.3 Supervisory appointments are kept, as a rule.</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1.4 I have regularly attended supervisory sessions.</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1.5 The content of supervisory sessions is, overall, useful for completing assigned tasks.</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1.6 The supervisor’s suggestions are always clearly and understandably communicated.</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1.7 The supervisor generally responds to students’ questions and comments.</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1.8 The supervisor shows interest in students’ success.</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1.9 Overall, I am treated with fairness and respect.</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

2. Project Presentations / Evaluation ("Testate")

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Question</th>
<th>Agree</th>
<th>Completely Agree</th>
<th>Disagree</th>
<th>Completely Disagree</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>2.1 Who plays the most significant role in the critical review of project presentations? (single response)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2.2 Project presentations are well-scheduled, in my opinion.</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2.3 I regularly attended project presentations.</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2.4 Critiques exercised in the presentations are always helpful for completing assigned tasks.</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2.5 Criticisms are, in general, comprehensibly conveyed.</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2.6 Reviewers always respond to students’ questions and comments.</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2.7 Reviewers show interest in students’ success, as a rule.</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2.8 The critique exercised in project presentations always resonates with feedback provided in weekly supervisory sessions.</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2.9 Overall, I am treated with fairness and respect.</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

3. Learning Conditions

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Question</th>
<th>Agree</th>
<th>Completely Agree</th>
<th>Disagree</th>
<th>Completely Disagree</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>3.1 On average, how much time do you spend per week on project work?</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3.2 In my opinion, the amount of time required for project work is too little</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3.3 In my opinion, the costs for the project are too low</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3.4 Did you have a work space that was assigned to you by the department?</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3.5 If yes: The work space provided for you was adequate.</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
3. Learning Conditions

3.6 If yes: I regularly used my work space.

3.7 If no: Would you like to have had a work space?

3.8 Among the facilities and tools made available to me, I used the following:

- library (main campus)
- CAAD room
- Tech. Center/Model-building workshop
- artificial lit ceiling
- fire safety laboratory
- DDL (Lasers, milling machines, 3D printer)
- Tech. Center/Model-building workshop
- climate laboratory
- robotics laboratory

4. Acquired Competencies & Skills

4.1 My ability to do work in systematic design has improved.

4.2 I developed new areas of interest as a result of working on the project.

5. Overall Opinion

5.1 I would recommend project work in this department.

5.2 On a scale of 1 to 6, with 1 being the best and 6 the worst, what overall grade would you assign to the course?

6. General Information

Privacy Information: Please indicate your sex only if the course was attended by at least five male and five female students.

6.1 Sex
- female
- male
- n/a

6.2 Current semester in your program?
- 1-2
- 3-4
- 5-6
- 7-8
- 9-10
- 11 or higher

6.3 Which school, department, study program division, or institute is responsible for your degree program?
- AR
- EI
- MA
- MSE
- EDU
- WZW-AG
- WZW-EW
- CH
- IN
- ME
- PH
- GOV
- WZW-BL
- WZW-WF
- MW
- MCTS
- SG
- WI
- WZW-BW
- WZW-LL

6.4 Which of your project choices were you assigned?
- 1st choice
- 2nd choice
- 3rd choice
- compulsory subject

6.5 Did you work in a group for at least part of the project?
- yes
- no

6.6 If yes: In my opinion, group work was helpful for achieving the goals of the project.

7. Comments

7.1 What did you like about working on the project?

7.2 Do you have any suggestions for improvement?

7.3 Is this questionnaire suitable to adequately evaluate the project? If not, please provide suggestions for improvement: